

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. NO.: 3299-01  
BILL NO.: HJR 55  
SUBJECT: Constitutional Amendments: Transportation Department  
TYPE: Original  
DATE: January 24, 2000

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
General Revenue	(\$52,560)	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>(\$52,560)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Transportation** indicated that they could not estimate the effect of this proposal on the Highway Fund because the effect would depend upon: a) whether there would be collections in excess of the revenue ceiling, and b) whether the voters would assign that excess revenue to the Highway Fund.

Officials of the **Secretary of State** noted that the proposal makes it possible that statewide elections would be required in years there are no statewide elections scheduled. The cost for a statewide election could be as much as \$3,000,000.

**Oversight** notes that possible effects of this proposal are contingent on state revenues exceeding the revenue ceiling. Those effects, if any, would be addressed during the normal budget cycle.

Advertisement costs for the proposal would be \$4,380 per newspaper column inch for three printings of the text of the proposal, the introduction, title, fiscal note summary, and affidavit. The proposal would be on the ballot for the November 2000 general election.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------	---------	---------

### **GENERAL REVENUE FUND**

Cost to General Revenue Fund

Secretary of State

Newspaper Advertisements	(\$52,560)		
--------------------------	------------	--	--

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
---	---------	---------	---------

	\$0	\$0	\$0
--	-----	-----	-----

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### DESCRIPTION

This proposal would require the legislature to submit a proposal to the voters about the use of  
DESCRIPTION (continued)

L.R. NO. 3299-01  
BILL NO. HJR 55  
PAGE 3 OF 3  
January 24, 2000

excess state revenues, as defined in article X of the state constitution. The voters would be asked if the excess revenues would be distributed to income taxpayers or deposited to the credit of the Highway Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation  
Secretary of State

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a cursive "Jarrett".

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director  
January 24, 2000